

SCRUTINY COMMISSION

11TH OCTOBER 2006

LOCAL AREA AGREEMENT (LAA) PROGRESS, REVIEW AND REFRESH

REPORT OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE

Purpose of Report

1. This report updates the Commission on:
 - progress in implementing the LAA including the preparation of the delivery plan and the work in priority neighbourhoods;
 - the arrangements for the review of the LAA;
 - the arrangements for the refresh of the LAA.

Progress

Staff support

2. The County Council has recruited John Wright as the new LAA Policy and Performance Officer. He previously worked in Leicester City on its LSP, LAA and Neighbourhood Renewal Strategy.

Delivery Plan

3. The Delivery Plan which sets out how each outcome in the LAA is to be achieved is now complete and is available on the Leicestershire Together website (www.leicestershiretogether.org).

Priority Neighbourhoods

4. The LAA identifies 20 priority neighbourhoods within which neighbourhood management arrangements are to be established to address a range of deep seated issues. Responsibility for putting in place neighbourhood management arrangements rests with the District-based local strategic partnerships which are identified in the LAA as the lead bodies for neighbourhood management.

5. The development of arrangements is being informed by a study by the consultants IMPOWER which suggests possible models of neighbourhood

management, involving various combinations of neighbourhood action teams, neighbourhood managers, neighbourhood forums and task groups.

6. Appendix 1 provides an update on progress to date within each District. Some District LSPs have made good progress in establishing arrangements with others yet to consider the matter in detail.

7. A Leicestershire Neighbourhood Management Group has been established to facilitate the co-ordination of neighbourhood management activity with the theme-based LAA outcomes. The first meeting of the group, which includes representatives of each District LSP and each LAA block, was held on August 16th. The terms of reference of the group are attached as Appendix 2. At its first meeting the group agreed to carry out some detailed mapping of the relationship between a range of neighbourhood based initiatives (including relevant LAA outcomes, neighbourhood policing etc) and the LAA priority neighbourhoods.

8. The County Council has made available £56,500 to District LSPs to assist with the neighbourhood management process during 2006/07 and 2007/08.

Funding to help meet targets

9. The funding for neighbourhood management referred to above is part of a package of funding arrangements for the non reward targets set out in Appendix 3. This includes a contribution of £250,000 from the County Council and £343,000 in cash, in-kind and aligned budgets from other partners (all over three years).

10. Funding for the reward targets is also set out in Appendix 3 The County Council has provided a loan for this funding which will be repaid (with costs) from the reward funds paid in 2009/10.

The Review

11. GOEM undertakes a 6 monthly review of the LAA to cover the period between 1st March and 31st September 2006. The arrangements for the review are set out in the attached letter (Appendix 4). The process is in two parts – block by block meetings during late October/early November and a formal strategic level review with GOEM on the 20th November.

12. Performance information on the first 6 months delivery of the LAA covering both outcomes and soft targets such as the establishment of new partnership arrangements will be produced during October to inform the Review process.

The Refresh

13. Government has set a deadline of 19th January 2007 for revisions to the LAA for 2007/08. Given partners are still putting in place delivery mechanisms, measuring baselines, establishing performance management

systems and preparing for the 6 months review, this seems unnecessarily difficult and early. The main reason for the deadline seems to be to allow Government Departments and Government Offices time to negotiate the new 3rd wave LAAs from late January though to March

14. Government has also set a deadline of the end September for enabling measures (previously called freedoms and flexibilities) to be identified. Two requests for enabling measures related to the original agreement have been agreed (quarterly reporting of PPOs and arrangements for Job centre plus secondments) and one (direct payments) is outstanding. It is difficult to identify additional enabling measures until either problems are identified in the review or new requirements are identified in relation to new outcomes to be included in the refresh. However recently updated guidance does allow for new enabling measure submissions up to the end of the year should they emerge from the review discussions.

15. The content of the refresh will be informed by:

- Consideration by the relevant strategic partnerships led by Block Leads
- The Leicestershire Together Conference – details attached at Appendix 5.
- The outcome of the 6 month review
- Additional requirements in the latest LAA guidance including mandatory outcomes and funding streams. This includes housing issues (see separate paper on the Group agenda)
- Meeting of SSOG in December to consider refresh.

16. There are concerns about the number of new mandatory outcomes/indicators that the Government requires to be included in all LAAs from 2007/08 (mainly in the safer communities theme). First, there is a general concern about the principle of mandatory outcomes and indicators in what is a local agreement. Second, some of the outcomes/indicators do not seem to be priorities for Leicestershire. Third, some of the indicators are already being measured but in slightly different forms. The value of work to measure the baseline of these indicators would be undermined if questions had to be changed.

17. The County Council has written to GOEM on behalf of the partnership raising concerns on all of the above issues

Recommendation

18. It is recommended that the Scrutiny Commission notes this report.

Officer to contact – Andy Robinson 0116 265 7017 arobinson@leics.gov

APPENDIX 1 - LEICESTERSHIRE LOCAL AREA AGREEMENT

Neighbourhood Management – Summary of Progress (July/ Aug 2006)

Establishment of Neighbourhood Action teams/ groups	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Action teams established for all LAA Priority Neighbourhoods 	NWL - Yes
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Action Teams established for some LAA Priority Neighbourhoods 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Action Teams about to be established (please specify timescales) 	<p><u>Blaby</u> - November</p> <p><u>Charnwood</u> – implementation from September</p> <p><u>Harborough</u> – in negotiation with Harborough Improvement Team re one n'hood</p> <p><u>Melton</u> – in 6 to 8 weeks</p> <p><u>Hinckley and Bosworth</u> – by Christmas in 2 neighbourhoods</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No action to date/ programmed 	<p><u>Harborough</u> – approach re Fleckney and Gartree to be considered in September.</p> <p><u>O&W</u> – meeting 4/10 to review LSP, Community Plan and N'hood Action Teams/ Groups</p>

Establishment of Neighbourhood Forums

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Forums established for all LAA Priority Neighbourhoods 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Forums established for some LAA Priority Neighbourhoods 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Forums about to be established (please specify timescales) 	<p><u>Blaby</u> – currently under consideration <u>Charnwood</u> – implementation from September <u>Harborough</u> – interaction with community to be considered when LSP has considered how it wants to progress. <u>Melton</u> – in 6 to 8 weeks <u>NWL</u> – August-Sept <u>O&W</u> – active area forums in place across the 3 towns <u>H&B</u> – arrangements in place for initial two areas</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No action to date/ programmed 	

Appointment of Neighbourhood Managers	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Managers appointed covering all LAA Priority Neighbourhoods 	<p><u>Charmwood</u> – NMs recruited. Will be in post early September. <u>Melton</u> – yes</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Managers appointed covering some LAA Priority Neighbourhoods 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appointment processes underway/ scheduled (please specify timescales) 	<p><u>NWL</u> – process underway <u>Hinckley and Bosworth</u> – agreement in principle subject to funding.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No action to date/ programmed 	<p><u>Harborough</u> – awaiting decisions re LAA resources <u>O&W</u> – currently being managed by 2 chief officers. Post being considered as part of wider review concluding march 2007.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Other 	<p><u>Blaby</u> – existing resources will be used</p>

Funding of Neighbourhood Management work	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Has funding to support neighbourhood management work been secured/ identified (if yes – please specify) 	<p><u>Charnwood</u> – Yes from CBC <u>H&B</u> - £10k cash + £20k officer time from H&BBC <u>O&W</u> – following funds allocated to area forums (£200k Oadby, £200k Wigston, £100k S Wigston)</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does a funding gap exist for neighbourhood management support arrangements – if yes please specify) 	<p><u>Blaby</u> – gap relates to cost of appointing a neighbourhood manager <u>Charnwood</u> – unquantified gap relating to development of neighbourhood action plans and subsequent delivery <u>H&B</u> – tbc when approach agreed <u>Harborough</u> – yes but not quantified <u>Melton</u> – bid for LAA funding to be made for research/ support/ admin gap <u>NWL</u> – seeking at least £10k from LAA</p>

Local Area Agreement outcomes

- What action has been taken/ is in hand to ensure relevant LAA outcomes* are being addressed in the priority neighbourhoods.
- * such as LAA Community Safety Outcome 7 which seeks to 'reduce vulnerability and assist people to feel safe within priority neighbourhoods'

Blaby – alignment with LAA currently underway through review of the community strategy
Charnwood - will be integrated into the preparation of improvement plans for each neighbourhood. Existing activity that is already contributing to LAA outcomes at local level will be identified.
Harborough – see responses to previous questions
Melton - initial Neighbourhood Management meeting has taken place, followed by regular meetings of NT and IT, to pursue LAA outcomes and develop projects/initiatives.
NWL – All NATs have been issued with individualised plan of LAA and are preparing information on action.
O&W – managed and monitored by senior officers responsible for the relevant LAA outcome. Regular reporting to Management Team and Committee.

Appendix 2 - Leicestershire Neighbourhood Management Group

Terms of Reference

Membership

The Group will include a representative from each District LSP (as the lead bodies for implementing management arrangements in the LAA priority neighbourhoods), from each of the LAA blocks (as the bodies responsible for ensuring delivery of agreed LAA outcomes and targets) together with a representative each from Leicestershire Constabulary and the Leicestershire PCT.

Chairing and secretarial arrangements

Arrangements to be agreed by the Group. [At first meeting on 16/8/06 it was agreed that Tom Purnell, Leicestershire County Council would chair the Group.]

Roles

The Group will support the successful implementation of neighbourhood management in the 20 priority neighbourhoods identified in the Leicestershire Local Area Agreement by:

- Facilitating the establishment of appropriate links between neighbourhood action teams and projects delivering LAA outcomes;
- Promoting stronger links between the theme-based LAA blocks in relation to neighbourhood level activities;
- Agreeing the allocation of LAA funding available to support neighbourhood management activities; and
- Providing a forum for the sharing of best practice.

Appendix 3 - Leicestershire LAA – Non reward outcomes pump-priming bids LCC + matching contributions

Block	Request (over three years)
Older People	10,000 Support for costs of Older People's network – venues, participant costs, etc. Potential for network to support a range of consultative/feedback/information purposes for agencies across the County
Funding: £1,000 in kind (Melton BC) – use of rooms and facilities, staff time to organise. <u>£9,000 LCC.</u>	
Health	
	62,891 HC4 –improve Mental Health and Well-being - activity to implement Health and Safety Executive stress management standards. p/t Project Worker over 3 years from June06; worker to give direct support, advice and guidance to workplaces; office and start-up costs and bespoke questionnaire.
	25,000 Lifestyle Survey – support LAA and wider partnership work on public health. Of use to number of related outcomes not just those in health block e.g. includes information re physical activity, community safety etc. Copy of full survey available on request.
Funding : £50,000 from PCTs <u>£38,000 LCC</u>	

Block	Request (over three years)
<p>Children and Young People</p>	<p>60,000 CYP 3 Increase numbers and effectiveness of parenting groups. Fun and Families. Exploring potential for £30,000 match funding. Potential impact on community safety outcomes in terms of ASB and crime; Community development outcomes etc.</p>
<p>Funding: £15,000 to support projects in Melton and which ally with the Council's and MCP aims and objectives. Focus on priority neighbourhoods expected but will consider alternatives (Melton BC) <u>£15,000 LCC</u></p>	
<p>Safer</p>	<p>25,000 Part costs of changes to accommodation to support delivery of SF5 shared outcome – youth offending. Mainly non-reward but also relates to shared reward indicator in CYP 4.</p>
	<p>20,000 Funding for some consultancy work to develop commissioning approach to the use of the Safer Communities Fund. A brief specification would be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • to analyse activity and spending at CDRP and county level • evaluate the effectiveness of activity within each CDRP in delivering improved outcomes against priority themes • evaluate the costs and benefits of projects commissioned at local and county level • to produce a clear and structured report with recommendations for change
<p>Funding: £ 150,00 Police – also contributing to additional non reward outcomes, not yet confirmed. £12,500 LCC – subject to police contribution</p>	

Block	Request (over three years)
Stronger	<p>45,000 £15,000 per year to assist Leicestershire and Rutland Association of Parish and Local Councils in increasing contested seats and turnout at Parish Council elections.</p> <p>241,000 Supporting Neighbourhood Management processes in the 20 neighbourhood priority areas.</p>
Economic Development	<p>Funding: 2006/7 £ (a) £20,000 Aligned Hinckley and Bosworth. (b) £75,000 Aligned/In kind Charnwood (potential for £350-400,000 over the 3 years) ; (c) Grant NWLeic to CVS £37,600 (d) £30,000 aligned staff time from Community Partnership and Community Regeneration Team; (e) £101,500 LCC; (f) £10,000 Hinckley & Bosworth</p> <p>149,847 ED 1& 5 gathering intelligence to help pump prime work in town centres and tourism across Leicestershire. Creation of shared research base; annual performance bench-marking; user satisfaction surveys – environment, car parking, public realm; town centre impressions; tourism days, visitors and economic impact. Impact on outcomes in ED, Stronger and Safer; Cleaner and Greener.</p>
	<p>Funding: (a) £25,000 LSEP for baseline study; (b) £9,625 East Midlands Tourism/EMDA; (c) £5,000 LCC Tourism Grant; (d) £50,000 N.W.Leic DC Town Centres aligned ED1; £25,000 grant to Leicestershire Promotions (e) £5,000 aligned re time of Town Centre Manager to work on related LAA projects; (f) £74,000 LCC (includes match funding LSEP for baseline study).</p>

Please contact John Wright, Senior Policy and Performance Officer, jpwright@leics.gov.uk, tel- 2548015, if you require more information or clarification on the above

24th July 2006

Leicestershire LAA – Summary of Reward Target Pump Priming

Older People RG1	Total £190,000	Yr.1 £97,000	Yr.2 £52,500	Yr.3 £ 41,000
<p>Two outcomes were amalgamated into ‘basket’ of indicators for one reward. Two distinct projects - use of direct payments and increase in benefit take up.</p> <p>Direct Payments – pump priming to develop alternatives to day care. One year post to map existing options, produce local resource directory and work with range of agencies to establish new options. After year 1 it is envisaged that work on Direct Payments will be absorbed by established team in Social Services</p> <p>Benefits Take Up – 3 year Project Officer to establish ‘joint teams’ for more streamlined benefits take up work between agencies; agree mechanisms; develop data exchange ; co-ordinate training etc. Admin and data clerk support for one year, three days a week to establish data base.</p> <p>One-off costs for production of Older People’s Handbook .</p>				
Health RG2	Total £195,000	Yr.1 £74,000	Yr.2 £60,000	Yr.3 £61,000
<p>Reduction in smoking outcome – two indicators re schools and wider community in the area. Appointment of front line Co-ordinator to implement programme, lead partner agencies and personnel to meet targets. Proposal includes essential research costs.</p> <p>Trading Standards Enforcement Officer (p/t) ; proof of age cards; materials etc. Trading Standards activity is non reward outcome but linked to and supports reward grant outcomes.</p>				

Health RG3	£323,000	Yr.1 £65,000	Yr.2 £129,000	Yr.3. £129,000
<p>Increasing Physical activity. Cross cutting reward outcome – links to and aids a number of other LAA outcomes across blocks;</p> <p>District based work, 7 activators/co-ordinators, capacity to link into work carried out by partners on area or target group basis with other cross cutting agendas through the additional appointment of four specialist inequalities community activators/coordinators.</p> <p>£for £ match funding from Sport England ‘Community Investment Fund’, expected.</p> <p>Other funding/support in kind totalling £72,800 identified from local delivery partners.</p>				
CYP RG4	Total £590,000(of which £43,574 is shared outcome with Safer Communities)	Yr. 1 £ 178,671	Yr.2 £202,785	Yr.3 £207,664
<p>Number of outcomes amalgamated into ‘basket’ of four indicators for one reward, resulting in overall ‘team’ and four projects re support to vulnerable young people.</p> <p>Bid includes support over three years for full time Project Coordinator and a commissioning budget for new ‘virtual team’ network – providing support packages for vulnerable young people with multiple behaviour problems and represented by one or more of the indicators identified in the reward target.; funding for the development of Not.School.Net computer based learning for those at serious risk of permanent exclusion; Reprimand Worker (2 years) to join with Police and YOS to assess and identify those at risk of further offending (shared outcome with Safer communities); Development Worker, Admin support (p/t) and commissioning budget to prevent and reduce impact of homelessness – build on and roll out successful multi-agency initiatives and work.</p>				

CYP RG5			
Education attainment – no bid for pump-priming grant. This grant ‘share’ could therefore be seen to contribute to CYP 4 above where there are four indicator and projects to meet overall outcome			
Safer RG 6		Yr1. £90,000	Yr.2 £90,000
Reducing level of offending by Prolific and Other Priority Offenders.			
Adoption of two main approaches – rehabilitation and control. Supporting ‘out of hours’ work; supporting the transition between custody and community; ; victim work – been piloted and looking to mainstream this intervention during the life of the LAA. Three proposals re Weekend Work Prison liaison and Victim Work considered to have greatest additional impact on re-offending and provide balanced range of interventions to achieve reward target.			
Activity is such that resources required over 2 year period which may span into year three.			
Safer RG 7		Yr.1 £52,500	Yr.2 £50,000
Total £152,500		Yr.1 £52,500	Yr.3 £50,000
Domestic Violence – increase reporting and reduce offences committed by repeat offenders.			
Proposal for Outreach/Advocacy work with victims and survivors. offender management work and courses awareness raising and publicity; and monitoring and evaluation. Provides additional capacity - build on existing services and resources.			
Cost of better aligning existing resources to be found from within current mainstream budgets.			
Added value of monitoring to address cross cutting links with other LAA outcomes - sustained tenancy; child removed from at risk register; court case proceedings/offence brought to justice; educational attainment of children; no. of households helped to secure alternative accommodation.			

Stronger RG 8 Stronger RG9	Total £402,000 (Two reward targets)	Yr.1 £299,000	Yr.2. £31,000	Yr.3 £72,000
<p>Building community cohesion/social capital and increase in volunteering. 2 reward targets.</p> <p>Volunteering is a cross cutting themes in the LAA – supports the delivery of other outcomes.</p> <p>Funding to support social capital survey work; developing volunteering opportunities and responding to the needs of the LAA outcomes for volunteers; co-ordination of activity; support for those CVS organisations which are not large enough to resource work involved. Year one costs include costs in 2005/6 re survey preparation training.</p> <p>Proposal to approach to Big Lottery Infrastructure Fund and Capacity Builders Fund to secure extra funds beyond year one for the capacity building of the CVS's and Volunteer Centres.</p>				
Cleaner and Greener RG 10				
<p>Waste Management – increased levels of diversion from landfill</p> <p>No specific award made at this stage – want to align bid with outcome of Draft Waste Partnership Strategy – awaiting bid.</p>				
Economic Development RG 11	Total £161,280	Yr.1 £59,280	Yr.2 £51,000	Yr.3 £51,000
<p>Increase business start-ups. Develop and implementing 'Enterprise Road Show' programme; one to one business counselling etc. Activity targeted at those who do not normally access support through current mechanisms. Costs relate to venues; facilitation; marketing; counselling; project management. Aim to engage with minimum of 800 additional pre-starts and 200 additional business start-ups to achieve target. Amalgamation of</p>				

both LAA pump priming and LAGBI bid			
Economic Development RG 12	Total £452,000	Yr.1 £127,000	Yr.2. £164,000 Yr.3 £161,000
<p>Increasing number of people on incapacity benefit helped into work. Will also support delivery of non-reward learning target.</p> <p>Employment Development and Community Development Workers to contact and engage adults; develop network of referral agencies; increase capacity of Breaking the Barriers team; provide advice and support to people etc.</p> <p>Potential for LSEP/Learning Partnership march funding. Amalgamation of both LAA pump priming and LAGBI bid</p>			

Created by R.Norris. K:\PRI Fileplan\Local Area Agreements\2006 07 preparation\Funding\LAA Reward Outcome Pump Priming Summary.doc

APPENDIX 4

John Sinnott
Chief Executive
Leicestershire County Council
County Hall
Glenfield
Leicester
LE3 8RA

JAN SENSIER

**Area Director, Leicester &
Leicestershire**

The Belgrave Centre
Stanley Place
Talbot Street
Nottingham NG1 5GG

Switchboard: 0115 971 9971

Direct Line: 0115 971 2572

GTN: 6205 2572

Fax: 0115 971 2404

www.go-em.gov.uk

jan.sensier@goem.gsi.gov.uk

Date: 30 June 2006

PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT OF LOCAL AREA AGREEMENT: SIX MONTH REVIEW

As you are aware, following the sign off of the Leicestershire Local Area Agreement (LAA) in March, the next key milestone is the six monthly review of performance and financial monitoring.

We envisage the six month review being a strategic meeting examining progress against outcomes and targets against each of the four LAA blocks and focussing on any issues highlighted through block pre-meetings.

LAA Guidance signposts the following areas for discussion.

- Performance against outcomes and targets
- The continued strength of partnership working. Authorities will be expected to demonstrate engagement of relevant partners, including the Voluntary Community Sector and the extent to which they are involved in service delivery.
- Development of LAA performance management and delivery mechanisms.
- LAA spend to date against profile.
- Any action needed to address under performance.

With this in mind, it would be helpful if you could share with us your thoughts regarding the format and content of the review and the officers/partners you would wish to be represented at the review. For our part we envisage the review, ideally to be held at the Council Offices, will be chaired by Jane Todd, Peter Murphy, Director of Local Government or I, plus the GO lead for each of the four LAA blocks. The date for the Leicestershire LAA six month review has been provisionally scheduled for 20 November 2006. I would therefore be grateful if you would consider and let me have your overall comments and also confirm that the suggested date for the review is acceptable.

A copy of this letter has also been sent to David Parsons and Andy Robinson.

Jan Sensier
Area Director, Leicester and Leicestershire

LEADERSHIP IN LEICESTERSHIRE CONFERENCE

MONDAY 30th OCTOBER 2006

Leicester Racecourse, Oadby

DRAFT AGENDA (Appendix 5)

10.00am COFFEE and REGISTRATION

10.30am **Leicestershire Together – Leadership Opportunities and Challenges** - Welcome by Mr David Parsons, Chair of Leicestershire Together and Leader, Leicestershire County Council

10.40am Presentations on the theme of Leading in Leicestershire:
❖ **Developing Stronger Communities by building Social Capital** – Martin Gage, Council for Voluntary Service Community Partnership
❖ **Delivering the LAA through Neighbourhood Management** – *Christine Fisher, Chief Executive, North West Leicestershire District Council*

11.00am Showcase – Leicestershire Together projects
❖ **The Leicestershire Funding Toolkit** – Oliver Savage, Project Manager, and a Funding Toolkit user
❖ **The outcomes of the BME Citizens Jury** – Julian Harrison, Senior Policy Officer, Leicestershire County Council, and a member of the Jury

COFFEE BREAK (11.20am)

11.45pm SEMINARS

- A. **The areas for improvement identified through the Leicestershire Together Peer Challenge - how do we need to change Leicestershire Together?** *Mick Wells, Chair of Leicestershire Together Sub Group*
- B. **The Black and Minority Ethnic Citizens Jury –what should be the priorities in delivering the Action Plan?** *Prakash Panchal, Leicester Shire Ethnic Minority Partnership* and Gill Jackson, Faith Communities representative on Leicestershire Together Board
- C. **Communication – how do we let people know what we are doing and how they can get involved?** Jonathan Clarkson, Leicestershire Together Communications Officer
- D. **How do we ensure that “communities of interest” are linked effectively into the work of Leicestershire Together?** Julian Harrison, Senior Policy Officer,

Leicestershire County Council (plus reps. from following C of I – older, young, disabilities, travellers, faith etc)

- E. **How can Leicestershire Together and the LAA have maximum impact at a community (i.e. parish, village or neighbourhood) level?** Andy Robinson, *District, LRAPLC*
- F. **Performance Management, Intelligence and Research and Information – influencing the delivery of the LAA** Harry Mistry, Research Team Leader, John Wright, Senior Policy and Performance Officer, LAA and Stephen Curtis, Information Management Team Leader, all Leicestershire County Council
- G. **How do we ensure that housing and transport issues are taken into account in the work of Leicestershire Together and the delivery of the LAA?** Tom Purnell, *Matthew Lugg, Richard Birchall (MRH)*
- H. **How can cultural activities help us to deliver the Local Area Agreement?** Heather Broughton

12.30pm LUNCH/DISPLAYS - to incorporate 2 sessions with the Voting Handsets

1.30pm **Leading in Leicestershire – Part 2**

Representatives of 2 key theme partnerships (e.g. the health sector - Catherine Griffiths, new Leicestershire PCT Chief Executive - and the police – Mike Goodwin)

1.50pm Showcase – Leicestershire Together projects (2)

- ❖ **The Leicester Shire On Line Research Atlas** - Ged Moss, Project Manager
- ❖ **The Jitty** – James Hunter, Project Manager, and 1/2 young people

2.10pm **Delivering the Leicestershire Local Area Agreement – a review of the first 6 months**
- John Wright, Senior Policy and Performance Officer, LAA

WORKSHOPS (INCLUDING TEA AND COFFEE)

2.30pm Delivering the Local Area Agreement – a more in depth look at how the first six months have gone and what we need to change/add for the annual “refresh” of the LAA

- A. Safer Communities – led by the Community Safety Programme Board, Phil Hawkins, Block Lead Officer
- B. Stronger Communities – led by the Council for Voluntary Services Community Partnership (CCP), *Martin Gage, Block Lead Officer*
- C. Healthy Communities – led by the Healthier Communities Planning Group, Barry Davies, Block Lead Officer
- D. Older People – led by Older Persons Board, *Sheila Rochester, Block Lead Officer*
- E. Economic Development – led by the Leicester Shire Economic Partnership, *Phil Durban, Block Lead Officer*
- F. Cleaner and Greener – led by Leicestershire District Councils *Brian Hayes, Block Lead Officer*
- G. Children and Young People – led by the Children and Young Persons Board, Nigel Farrow, Block Lead Officer

3.30pm TEA/COFFEE AND DEPART